

ROBERT C. SCHLEIN
California State Bar No. 97876
Attorney at Law
401 "B" Street, Suite 2209
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 235-9026
Email: robert@rcslaw.org

Attorney for HERBERTH MORALES-SASO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
(HONORABLE IRMA E. GONZALEZ)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	Case: 08cr1334-IEG
)	
Plaintiff,)	Date: June 30, 2008
)	Time: 2:00 p.m.
v.)	
)	
HERBERTH MORALES-SASO,)	STATEMENT OF FACTS AND
)	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
Defendant.)	AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF
)	DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS

I.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The following statement of facts is based, in part, on materials received from the government. The facts alleged in these motions are subject to amplification and/or modification at the time these motions are heard. The government alleges the following:

"On or about March 20, 2008, within the Southern District of California, defendant, Herberth MORALES-Sosa, an alien, who previously had been excluded, deported and removed from the United States to Mexico, was found in the United States, without the Attorney General or his designated successor, and the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security (Title 6, United States Code, Sections 202(3) and (4), and 557), having expressly consented to the defendant's reapplication for admission into the United States; in violation of Title 8 United States Code, Section 1326."

//

//

II.

MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AND PRESERVE EVIDENCE

Defendant moves for the production by the government of the following discovery and for the preservation of evidence. This request is not limited to those items that the prosecutor knows of, but rather includes all discovery listed below that is in the custody, control, care, or knowledge of any government agency. See generally Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 419 (1995); United States v. Bryan, 868 F.2d 1032 (9th Cir. 1989). In particular, Defendant seeks to obtain records from the “Alvarado Parkway Institute BHS” where he was sent for detoxification sometime on or around March 21, 2008. Defendant was sent to the Alvarado Parkway Institute after he was denied admittance to the Metropolitan Correctional Center in San Diego, presumably because he was too intoxicated to be admitted.

(1) The Defendant's Statements. The Government must disclose to the defendant all copies of any written or recorded statements made by the defendant; the substance of any statements made by the defendant which the Government intends to offer in evidence at trial; any response by the defendant to interrogation; the substance of any oral statements which the Government intends to introduce at trial and any written summaries of the defendant’s oral statements contained in the handwritten notes of the Government agent; any response to any Miranda warnings which may have been given to the defendant; as well as any other statements by the defendant. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(A) and (B). The Advisory Committee Notes and the 1991 amendments to Rule 16 make clear that the Government must reveal all the defendant’s statements, whether oral or written, regardless of whether the government intends to make any use of those statements.

(2) Arrest Reports, Notes and Dispatch Tapes. The defense also specifically requests that all arrest reports, notes and dispatch or any other tapes that relate to the circumstances surrounding his arrest or any questioning, if such reports have not already been produced in their entirety, be turned over. This request includes, but is not limited to, any rough notes, records, reports, transcripts or other documents in which statements of the defendant or any other discoverable material is contained. Such material is discoverable under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(A) and (B) and Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). See also Loux v. United States, 389 F.2d 911 (9th Cir. 1968). Arrest reports, investigator's notes, memos from arresting officers, dispatch tapes, sworn statements, and prosecution reports pertaining to the defendant are

1 available under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(B), Fed. R. Crim. P. 26.2, and Fed. R. Crim. P. 12(h).
 2 Preservation of rough notes is requested, whether or not the government deems them discoverable.

3 (3) Brady Material. Defendant requests all documents, statements, agents' reports, and
 4 tangible evidence favorable to the defendant on the issue of guilt and/or which affects the credibility of the
 5 government's case. Under Brady, impeachment as well as exculpatory evidence falls within the definition
 6 of evidence favorable to the accused. United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667 (1985); United States v. Agurs,
 7 427 U.S. 97 (1976).

8 (4) Any Information That May Result in a Lower Sentence Under The Guidelines. As
 9 discussed above, this information is discoverable under Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). This
 10 request includes any cooperation or attempted cooperation by the defendant, as well as any information that
 11 could affect any base offense level or specific offense characteristic under Chapter Two of the Guidelines.
 12 Also included in this request is any information relevant to a Chapter Three adjustment, to a determination
 13 of the defendant's criminal history, or to any other application of the Guidelines.

14 (5) Any Information That May Result in a Lower Sentence Under 18 U.S.C. § 3553. After
 15 United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. 220, 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005), the Guidelines are merely advisory and
 16 federal sentencing is governed by 18 U.S.C. § 3553, which requires a judge to consider "any information
 17 about the nature of the circumstances of the offense." 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1). This broad range of judicial
 18 discretion, combined with the mandate that "[n]o limitation shall be placed on the information concerning
 19 the background, character, and conduct of a person convicted of an offense which a court of the United
 20 States may receive and consider for the purpose of imposing an appropriate sentence," 18 U.S.C. § 3661,
 21 means that any information whatsoever may be "material ... to punishment," Brady, 373 U.S. at 87,
 22 whether or not the government deems it discoverable.

23 (6) The Defendant's Prior Record. Evidence of prior record is available under Fed. R. Crim.
 24 P. 16(a)(1)(D). Counsel specifically requests that the copy be complete and legible.

25 (7) Any Proposed 404(b) Evidence. Evidence of prior similar acts is discoverable under Fed.
 26 R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E) and Fed. R. Evid. 404(b) and 609. In addition, under Fed. R. Evid. 404(b), "upon
 27 request of the accused, the prosecution . . . shall provide reasonable notice in advance of trial . . . of the
 28 general nature" of any evidence the government proposes to introduce under Fed. R. Evid. 404(b) at

1 trial. The defendant requests that such notice be given three weeks before trial in order to give the defense
2 time to adequately investigate and prepare for trial.

3 (8) Evidence Seized. Evidence seized as a result of any search, either warrantless or with
4 a warrant, is discoverable under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E).

5 (9) Request for Preservation of Evidence. The defense specifically requests that all dispatch
6 tapes or any other physical evidence that may be destroyed, lost, or otherwise put out of the possession,
7 custody, or care of the government and which relate to the arrest or the events leading to the arrest in this
8 case be preserved. This request includes, but is not limited to, the results of any fingerprint analysis, alleged
9 narcotics, the defendant's personal effects, the vehicle, and any other evidence seized from the defendant,
10 or any third party. It is requested that the government be ordered to question all the agencies and individuals
11 involved in the prosecution and investigation of this case to determine if such evidence exists, and if it does
12 exist, to inform those parties to preserve any such evidence.

13 (10) Tangible Objects. The defense requests, under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E) the
14 opportunity to inspect and copy as well as test, if necessary, all other documents and tangible objects,
15 including photographs, books, papers, documents, photographs of buildings or places or copies of portions
16 thereof which are material to the defense or intended for use in the government's case-in-chief or were
17 obtained from or belong to the defendant.

18 (11) Evidence of Bias or Motive to Lie. The defense requests any evidence that any
19 prospective government witness is biased or prejudiced against the defendant, or has a motive to falsify or
20 distort his or her testimony. Pennsylvania v. Ritchie, 480 U.S. 39 (1987); United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d
21 1197 (9th Cir. 1988).

22 (12) Impeachment evidence. Defendant requests any evidence that any prospective
23 government witness has engaged in any criminal act whether or not resulting in a conviction and whether
24 any witness has made a statement favorable to the defendant. See Fed. R. Evid. 608, 609 and 613. Such
25 evidence is discoverable under Brady v. Maryland. See United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir.
26 1988) (witness' prior record); Thomas v. United States, 343 F.2d 49 (9th Cir. 1965) (evidence that detracts
27 from a witness' credibility).

28 (13) Evidence of Criminal Investigation of Any Government Witness. The defense requests

any evidence that any prospective witness is under investigation by federal, state or local authorities for any criminal conduct. United States v. Chitty, 760 F.2d 425 (2d Cir. 1985).

(14) Evidence Affecting Perception, Recollection, Ability to Communicate. Defendant requests any evidence, including any medical or psychiatric report or evaluation, tending to show that any prospective witness's ability to perceive, remember, communicate, or tell the truth is impaired; and any evidence that a witness has ever used narcotics or other controlled substance, or has ever been an alcoholic. United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988); Chavis v. North Carolina, 637 F.2d 213, 224 (4th Cir. 1980).

(15) Witness Addresses. The defense requests the name and last known address of each prospective government witness. See United States v. Napue, 834 F.2d 1311 (7th Cir. 1987); United States v. Tucker, 716 F.2d 576 (9th Cir. 1983) (failure to interview government witnesses by counsel is ineffective); United States v. Cook, 608 F.2d 1175, 1181 (9th Cir. 1979), overruled on other grounds by Luce v. United States, 469 U.S. 38 (1984) (defense has equal right to talk to witnesses). The defendant also requests the name and last known address of every witness to the crime or crimes charged (or any of the overt acts committed in furtherance thereof) who will not be called as a government witness. United States v. Cadet, 727 F.2d 1453 (9th Cir. 1984).

(16) Name of Witnesses Favorable to the Defendant. The defense requests the name of any witness who made any arguably favorable statement concerning the defendant or who could not identify him or who was unsure of his identity, or participation in the crime charged. Jackson v. Wainwright, 390 F.2d 288 (5th Cir. 1968); Chavis v. North Carolina, 637 F.2d 213, 223 (4th Cir. 1980); Jones v. Jago, 575 F.2d 1164, 1168 (6th Cir. 1978); Hudson v. Blackburn, 601 F.2d 785 (5th Cir. 1979).

(17) Statements Relevant to the Defense. The defense requests disclosure of any statement that may be "relevant to any possible defense or contention" that he might assert. United States v. Bailleaux, 685 F.2d 1105 (9th Cir. 1982). This would include Grand Jury transcripts which are relevant to the defense motion to dismiss the indictment.

(18) Jencks Act Material. The defense requests all material to which defendant is entitled pursuant to the Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3500, reasonably in advance of trial, including dispatch tapes. A verbal acknowledgment that "rough" notes constitute an accurate account of the witness' interview is

1 sufficient for the report or notes to qualify as a statement under § 3500(e)(1). Campbell v. United States,
2 373 U.S. 487, 490-92 (1963).

3 (19) Giglio Information. Pursuant to Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), the
4 defendant requests all statements and/or promises, expressed or implied, made to any government witnesses,
5 in exchange for their testimony in this case, and all other information which could arguably be used for the
6 impeachment of any government witnesses.

7 (20) Reports of Scientific Tests or Examinations. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(F),
8 the defendant requests disclosure and the opportunity to inspect, copy, and photograph the results and
9 reports of all tests, examinations, and experiments conducted upon the evidence in this case, including, but
10 not limited to, any fingerprint testing done upon any evidence seized in this case, that is within the
11 possession, custody, or control of the government, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of
12 due diligence may become known, to the attorney for the government, and that are material to the
13 preparation of the defense or are intended for use by the government as evidence in chief at the trial.

14 (21) Henthorn Material. The defendant requests that the prosecutor review the personnel
15 files of the officers involved in his arrest, and those who will testify, and produce to him any exculpatory
16 information at least two weeks prior to trial and one week prior to the motion hearing. This includes all
17 citizen complaints and other related internal affairs documents involving any of the immigration officers
18 or other law enforcement officers who were involved in the investigation, arrest and interrogation of
19 defendant. See United States v. Henthorn, 931 F.2d 29 (9th Cir. 1991). In addition, he requests that if the
20 government is uncertain whether certain information is to be turned over pursuant to this request, that it
21 produce such information to the Court in advance of the trial and the motion hearing for an in camera
22 inspection.

23 (22) Informants and Cooperating Witnesses. The defense requests disclosure of the names
24 and addresses of any informants or cooperating witnesses used or to be used in this case. The government
25 must disclose the informant's identity and location, as well as disclose the existence of any other percipient
26 witness unknown or unknowable to the defense. Roviaro v. United States, 353 U.S. 53, 61-62 (1957). The
27 defense also requests disclosure of any information indicating bias on the part of any informant or
28 cooperating witness. Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972). Such information would include what,

1 if any, inducements, favors, payments, or threats were made to the witness to secure cooperation with the
2 authorities.

3 (23) Expert Witnesses. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(G), the defendant requests a
4 written summary of the expert testimony that the government intends to use at trial, including a description
5 of the witnesses' opinions, the bases and the reasons for those opinions, and the witnesses' qualifications.

6 (24) Residual Request. The defense intends by this discovery motion to invoke his rights
7 to discovery to the fullest extent possible under the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and the
8 Constitution and laws of the United States. This request specifically includes all subsections of Rule 16.
9 Defendant requests that the government provide him and his attorney with the above requested material
10 sufficiently in advance of trial.

11 III.

12 MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS

13 At the time of preparation of these motions, HERBERTH MORALES-SASO and defense
14 counsel have received limited discovery from the government. As additional information comes to light
15 the defense may find it necessary to file further motions. It is, therefore, requested that defense counsel be
16 allowed the opportunity to file further motions based upon information gained through the discovery
17 process.

18 CONCLUSION

19 For the foregoing reasons, Defendant respectfully requests that the Court grant the above
20 motions.

21 Respectfully submitted,

22
23 Dated: June 6, 2008

24 /s/ROBERT C. SCHLEIN
25 Robert C. Schlein
26 Attorney-at-Law
27 Attorney for Defendant MORALES-SASO
28 robert@rcslaw.org